

# **EXTERNAL REFERENCES**

# ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM INDIRECT

May 2025

### **Publications / References:**

## **SHEEP AND GOATS**

1)Alhamdany O. et al. (2023).  Seroprevalence of Neospora caninum and some hematological and biochemical parameters in sheep,  Mosul-Iraq. Egyptian Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 54(1), 159-164.	<ul> <li>133 sheep sera were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect and a lateral flow device (LFD).</li> <li>Results: seroprevalence was:         <ul> <li>with the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect: 21.05%</li> <li>with the LFD: 18.04%.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Correlation with other methods	
2)Tayseer O.M. <i>et al.</i> (2022). <b>Serological and biochemical study for </b> <i>Neospora caninum</i> <b>in goats/Mosul/Iraq</b> . International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(S8), 3406–3412.	<ul> <li>51 goat sera were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect and a lateral flow device (LFD).</li> <li>Results: seroprevalence was:         <ul> <li>with the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect:</li> <li>5.88%</li> <li>with the LFD: 3.92%.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Correlation with other methods	
3)Aboelwafa S.S. et al. (2022). Seroprevalence of <i>Toxoplasma gondii</i> and <i>Neospora caninum</i> in small ruminants in Luxor, Egypt. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 10(2): 412-420.	<ul> <li>Sera from 92 goats and 92 sheep were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect.</li> <li>Results: -seroprevalence in sheep was 6.5% -no antibodies detected in goats.</li> </ul>		
4)Basso W. et al. (2022). Toxoplasma gondii and Neospora caninum infections in sheep and goats in Switzerland: Seroprevalence and occurrence in aborted foetuses. Food and waterborne parasitology, 28, e00176.	<ul> <li>653 sheep from 143 farms and 748 goats from 164 farms were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect and inconclusive results were characterized by immunoblot.</li> <li>Results: seroprevalence was:         <ul> <li>in sheep: 0.8% at individual level, 2.8% at farm level</li> <li>in goats: 0.9% at individual level, 1.8% at the farm level</li> <li>immunoblot analysis of the inconclusive sheep serum sample showed a negative result, no goat sample yielded inconclusive results in ELISA.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Correlation with other methods	



## **CATTLE**

5)Tagwireyi W.M. et al. (2024). Seroprevalence and associated risk factors for Neospora caninum infection in dairy cattle in South Africa. Parasitology Research, 123(8), 298.	the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINI IM Indirect			
6)Drăgușin L. <i>et al.</i> (2022). <b>Preliminary research regarding the prevalence of cattle neosporosis in Caras-Severin county</b> . Lucrări Ştiinţifice Medicină Veterinară Vol. Lv(3), Timişoara.	<ul> <li>110 cattle sera were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect.</li> <li>Results: seroprevalence was 13.51%.</li> </ul>			
7)Ciuca L. et al. (2020). Seroprevalence and clinical outcomes of Neospora caninum, Toxoplasma gondii and Besnoitia besnoiti infections in water buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis). Animals, 10(3), 532.	SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect.  • Results: seroprevalence was 20.2%.		ı	
8)Macchi M. V. et al. (2020). Epidemiological study of neosporosis in Uruguayan dairy herds. Preventive veterinary medicine, 179, 105022.	<ul> <li>4223 serum samples from 102 dairy herds were tested using the ID SCREEN® NEOSPORA CANINUM Indirect.</li> <li>Results: seroprevalence was:         <ul> <li>at individual level: 22.3%</li> <li>at farm level: 96%.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

DOC 1504 Ver 0525